UA Rich Mountain
Assessing Student Learning
Course Outcomes Report
Colby Singleton
Fall 2023

1. **Purpose**
   The Course Outcomes Report supplies information each semester regarding student learning on the course level. This report must be submitted to your division chair by the last day of the semester. Data from this report will be integrated into the annual Program Review. The Strategic Goals aligned with this report are Strategic Goal Three: Provide High Quality Education and Strategic Goal Four: Continuous Quality Improvement.

2. **Course Details**
   Course Name: Comp I Course #: ENG123-ME-01

3. **Assessed Outcome**
   Course Outcome: Students will increase competencies in writing, reading, speaking, and listening.

   Related Program Outcome: Students will apply technological and research skills to identify, locate, and process information.

   Related GELO category (choose one):
   - ☐ Thinking critically
   - ☒ Communicating effectively
   - ☐ Using technology
   - ☐ Conducting relevant research

4. **Evidence of Student Learning**
   Describe the classroom activity/tools related to the **course** objective (project, discussion, essay, quiz, etc.): Essay

5. **Course Data**
   Number of students in your course(s) who met the course objective: 20

   Total number of students in your course section(s): 23

   Percent (%) of students achieving this course objective: 87%

6. **Response to Data**
   Will you change how you teach as a result of this assessment?

   ☒Change(s) needed. Better planning around sports and activities, as I do not have most of my students during the week for more than a couple of days. Discuss with high school counselor.
   ☐No change(s) needed. *Type explanation here.*

***Attach <u>anonymous</u> student samples of each activity along with the grading rubric/scale.**
****Attach any meeting minutes associated with this assessment.**

Artificial Convenience, Worth it or Not?

Artificial intelligence is the newest and most impressive modern convenience and advancement in computer science technology; it enables us to create things faster and more efficiently than ever before, giving us the ability to write and take in information in a whole new way. Although A.I. is a modern marvel that has the potential to make life easier for all of us, it would be disastrous if used on an industrial level because it would replace the human aspect of most jobs and displace employees, will encourage the creation and production of sub-par and inferior products made by artificial intelligence and will lead to a myriad of other moral legal issues pertaining to the true ownership and copyright monetization of A.I. generated art.

With the constant and ever-growing use of artificial intelligence in schools, it is only inevitable that newfangled artificial intelligence will make its way into the workplace. When this happens, what will happen to the untold numbers of employees that will be displaced when A.I. takes its place in a professional setting? It has already been estimated that artificial intelligence can make products and do jobs more efficiently than most human employees. If this is the case, if A.I. is used predominantly in the workplace, it will eventually replace most human workers, leaving millions of people unemployed which will undoubtedly take a massive toll on the economy as there will be little to no way for wealth to trickle down from the wealthy business owners down to the poor lower and middle class as there is no need to pay or compensate/insure artificial intelligence generated employees, thus only bolstering the wealth of the already wealthy while the less fortunate will not be able to support themselves.

Along with the socioeconomic toll A.I. in the workplace would take on the general populace, the product or service that is made with professional artificial intelligence are clearly and undeniably inferior to that of which is made by a human/conventional employee. For example, it has been proven numerous times that A.I. is imperfect, which the same can be said for humans, its safe to say whatever is

made by a human, whether it be a logo, or a spreadsheet, these will be inspected by fellow humans, making it more likely that any mistakes will be fixed, with artificial intelligence, autonomy is already encouraged from the mere use of A.I., so it would be safe to assume that the workplace would not bother to check for discrepancies. Artificial intelligence's inferiority is further proven by evidence from studie by Scientific American magazine and from Open-AI's patch notes themselves, A.I. will routinely provide inaccurate, unreliable or even biased information, not to mention that ChatGBT can only use data collected from 2021 or earlier, further proving its unreliability.

With the other ethical and moral issues that would plague us if artificial intelligence was adopted regularly into the workplace of society, A.I. would not only come for the jobs of the American people, but bring about a whole other slew of social and ethical debates pertaining to the true owners of artistic works that are generated by artificial intelligence With the ease of access and prevalence of A.I. art generators such as DALL-E A.I., there is no real way to determine the owner of this artwork, as artificial intelligence generated art is simple therefore if any A.I. generated art was to gain popularity or any kind of notable renown, there would be no feasible way to claim ownership or copyright over generated art, which will clearly create a myriad of arguments in the artistic community.

With all of the possible issues that have been mentioned thus far, it is clear that while A.I. is an incredibly impressive piece of technology and a testament to what humans and technology can do, it is clear that adopting artificial intelligence for anything but personal or entertainment uses would cause harm in the way that it would replace the jobs of untold numbers of Americans, inevitably displacing unknown numbers of employees, will facilitate the use, acceptance and distribution of flawed, biased, and sub-par A.I. generated variants of human made services, and will lead to many other social and ethical debates including but not limited to the ownership, monetization and copyright of artificial intelligence generated art, with all of this being said, the question must be asked: is the convenience worth it?